BCC BOSTON CONSULTING GROUP

# Impact of IRA, IIJA, CHIPS, and Energy Act of 2020 on Clean Technologies

Deep Dive | Offshore Wind

**APRIL 2023** 



### Background | Objectives and context of this work

### Objective

Explore impacts of recent legislation<sup>1</sup> on U.S. opportunity and remaining challenges for emerging clean technology deployment

## Stakeholders involved

- - Analysis was commissioned by <u>Breakthrough</u> <u>Energy</u> and <u>Third Way</u>, with input from stakeholders across the public and private sectors



When America Leads: Competing for the Future

How the US Can Win in Six Key Clean

How the US Can Gain an

Edge in Clean Tech

Technologies

## Related publications

- BCG report | <u>How the US Can Win in Six Key</u> <u>Clean Technologies</u>
- BCG report | How the US Can Gain an Edge in Clean Tech
- Third Way publication | <u>When America Leads:</u> <u>Competing for the Future of Clean Energy</u>

1. Legislation assessed here includes Inflation Reduction Act (IRA), Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, CHIPS and Science Act, and the Energy Act of 2020 Source: BCG analysis

1,100-2,000 Mtpa Annual global abatement potential in 2050

\$520-580B Cumulative US domestic market '20-'50

\$80-120B Cumulative US exports '20-'50

~90k<sup>4</sup> Cumulative job creation through 2050

### Offshore wind (OSW) | Executive summary

The US currently trails behind Europe and China who have deployed ~50 GW of combined capacity, which is nearly 2x the US 2030 target of 30 GW. Recently passed IRA and IIJA<sup>1</sup> provisions help the US reclaim its domestic market, accelerate OSW deployment, and position the US for regional exports into the Americas



Expanded ITC<sup>2</sup> provisions increase domestic demand for OSW projects by reducing the LCOE by ~30% from \$75/MWh to \$50/MWh, increasing the US domestic market by ~\$30B by 2030 post-IRA and creating ~50K new jobs before 2030

The IRA and IIJA incentivize building a robust US supply chain which positions the US to capture both the domestic market and regional export markets where the US holds proximity advantages by subsidizing component manufacturing through AMPCs<sup>3</sup> and funding infrastructure development, including ports and Jones Act-compliant vessels



Permitting reform, transmission expansion, and industry-wide standardization are needed to accelerate domestic deployment and infrastructure, which helps the US capture learnings from early projects and innovate on design and installation processes



Providing funding for floating technology demonstrations and deployment can further position the US to lead in a nascent part of the offshore wind market and unlock additional export potential

1. IIJA = Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act 2. ITC = investment tax credit 3. AMPC = advanced manufacturing production credit 4. Total number of positions created through 2050; incremental new jobs calculated as sum of all non-negative one-year differences in # job-years (e.g., 2021 job-years minus 2020 job-years gives 2021 new jobs); incremental new jobs added to sum from prior period for cumulative calculation Note: All numbers on lefthand side are based on projections from IEA's Announced Pledges (APS) 2022 scenario and are sums across all segments for the 2020-2050 timeline Source: BCG analysis, IEA, DOE

## Recent US policies have resulted in significant increases in projected size of addressable market, exports, and jobs within offshore wind

Impact of recent US policies (incl. IRA, IIJA) on cumulative market and job creation from 2020-2030



Post-IRA

3

Pre-IRA

## Legislation impacts | IRA and IIJA incentives reduce costs for offshore wind projects, accelerating domestic deployment



1. LCOE for Gas Combined Cycle is between \$45-\$74/MWh according to Lazard 2. Calculated using STEPS scenario data from IEA World Energy Outlook 2022 3. Business as usual: 2030 capacity projection pre-IRA based on IEA stated policy (STEPS) scenario 4. Capacity effect: incremental cost reduction due to added US capacity and additional global deployment (assumed 3x US increase) 5. Learning rate effect: incremental cost reduction due to de-risked commercialization (US moving early) and innovation (improved learning rates) Source: IEA World Energy Outlook 2022, IEA Offshore Wind Outlook 2019, Lazard LCOE projections; BCG analysis

## Legislation impacts | Policies support the buildout of a robust US domestic supply chain, enabling recapture of domestic markets and regional exports

	·	TITIC	
Permitting and development	Design and manufacturing	Construction	Offtake
10% ITC/PTC bonus for meeting domestic content requirements	\$20-\$50/kW manufacturing credit for OSW components (45X)	10% of sales price tax credit for manufacturing installation vessels	\$100M in interregional transmission analysis and planning
Expansion of offshore wind leasing areas to the Southeast and US territories	Up to 30% ITC for facilities that manufacture OSW components (48C)	\$600M for port infrastructure upgrades to support offshore wind construction activities	Up to 50% ITC for wind electricity producing facilities (48E)
	\$244M in funding for clean energy demonstration projects under IIJA (not OSW specific)		Up to \$0.015/kWh + 20% bonus PTC for production of wind electricity (45Y)
	\$3B in funding to DOE under CHIPS for development and demonstration (not OSW specific)		
Legend: 🙏 Domestic mfg. base	Commercialization of novel technologies	Infrastructure development	Cost reduction

## Legislation impacts | Advanced manufacturing credits subsidize domestic component manufacturing and help offset US cost disadvantages



1. Costs only include component costs and exclude installation costs. Costs are calculated using NREL Cost of Wind Energy Review 2020 2. Manufacturers can choose between the 45X production credit or the 48C investment credit; this analysis concerns the 45X PTC 3. The manufacturing credit is \$20/kW per blade which is a total of \$60/kW for the blade trio Source: IRA, NREL Cost of Wind 2020, IEA; BCG Analysis

# Legislation impacts | Realizing significant legislation benefits will require grid expansion and permitting reform to accelerate project development



Transmission and interconnection challenges



Typical OSW interconnection timeline for electricity grids<sup>1</sup>

Power grid infrastructure projects are complex and lengthy in both permitting and construction

- Existing onshore coastal grid unable to accommodate significant OSW interconnections without upgrades
- Long interconnection queues and lack of interstate transmission planning delay OSW deployment
- Novel offshore transmission solutions (e.g., backbone transmission lines) are an alternative to onshore upgrades



### Permitting landscape challenges



#### US OSW permitting can take up to 10 years, given:

- Lack of clarity around permitting timelines
- Challenges of going through federal, state, and local-level permitting



## Developers must pay large amounts upfront for wind area leases

- Increases project development risk
- Reduces profits on projects that already struggle with low margins



## IRA has tied offshore wind leasing to offshore oil and gas leasing requirements

• Environmental groups grow reluctant to accelerate offshore wind deployment at the expense of oil and gas leasing

#### 1. IEA World Energy Outlook 2022 Source: IEA; BCG Analysis

### New innovations | Nascent floating technology positions US for regional exports



Most countries, including the US, have high technical potential in deep waters that require floating technology<sup>1</sup>



1. From IEA Offshore Wind Outlook 2019, includes wind areas between 20 and 300km from shore which contributes to high floating proportion 2. CSAM = Central and South America Source: IEA; BCG Analysis

### ⊙ Key takeaways

US can build lead in floating deployment, given:

- Overlap with offshore oil and gas allows for a transition of knowledge, labor, and equipment
- Floating research centers and demonstration projects align with of deep-water areas

US priority export markets have a high portion of wind areas in deep waters, creating potential for the US to export floating technology

EU is significant competitor with a high proportion of deep-water technical potential

 US must accelerate floating RD&D and catch up to leading EU players and capture regional exports

# Pre-legislation challenges | Offshore wind deployment has been hindered by permitting delays and insufficient supporting infrastructure



### Remaining challenges | Recent legislation has addressed many priority issues for OSW, but additional policy needed to accelerate deployment

	Pre-legislation priority challenges	Changes from recent legislation (IRA, IIJA, CHIPS, and EA 2020)	Remaining areas to target with future policies
Permitting and development	1 Risk burden placed on OSW developers given high upfront costs and no permitting certainty	Extended ITC and PTC credits for electricity     producing facilities until 2032 increase long-term     revenue streams	<ul> <li>De-risk development through increased permitting certainty and direct revenues from lease auctions back into projects</li> </ul>
	2 Long and complex permitting processes with limited clarity on timelines		Reduce regulatory barriers across local, state, and federal levels, and streamline permitting and approval timelines
Design and	3 US operational cost disadvantage given high labor and manufacturing costs	• 45X PTC for OSW component production and 48C ITC for manufacturing facility investments	<ul> <li>Secure supply of high-risk components through research into manufacturing automation and modularity to achieve scale</li> </ul>
manufacturing	Gap to leader in innovation for novel technologies	<ul> <li>&gt;\$3B in funding under CHIPS and IIJA to clean tech demonstration projects</li> </ul>	Prioritize demonstration and commercialization activities for floating
Construction	5 Support infrastructure, including ports and vessels, largely undeveloped	<ul> <li>&gt;\$3B in funding under CHIPS and IIJA to clean tech demonstration projects</li> </ul>	Standardize dimensions to create shared infrastructure and support novel construction methods that decrease WTIV needs
Offtake	6 Generator lead line approach places cost burden on individual developers and increases pressure on onshore grid interconnections	<ul> <li>~\$100M in interregional transmission analysis and planning</li> </ul>	Plan and build an interstate high- voltage transmission solution for offshore windfarms
	-		Priority areas

Copyright  $\odot$  2023 by Boston Consulting Group. All rights reserved.

### Summary | Actions to further boost US competitiveness

### Key levers that will enable the US to win the offshore wind market



# Backup | New legislation provides incentives for facilities and production of Offshore Wind (I/III)

T	Provision	Summary	Type	💮 Total investment
1	IRA Section 13101: Renewable Energy Production Tax Credit <sup>1</sup>	Extension and modification of PTC for electricity for wind. Base credit of 0.3 cents/kWh and 1.5 cents/kWh if Wage/Apprenticeship requirements are met. Ends for facilities after 2024 and is replaced by 13701	Production Tax Credit (PTC)	\$51B
2	IRA Section 13102: Energy Investment Tax Credit <sup>1</sup>	Extension and modification of the Investment Tax Credit to expand clean energy manufacturing. 30% ITC and 10% bonus if domestic manufacturing requirements are met. Ends for facilities after 2024 and is replaced by 13702	Investment Tax Credit (ITC)	\$13.96B
3	IRA Section 13103: Low-Income Solar and Wind Investment Tax Credit <sup>1</sup>	Increase in energy credit for facilities placed in service in connection with low-income communities, only for facilities under 5MW. 10% bonus for project located in low- income communities	Investment Tax Credit (ITC)	Uncapped
4	IRA Section 13701: Clean Electricity Production Credit <sup>2</sup>	Intended to replace 13101 and phases out in 2032. Tax credit for domestically produced, zero emissions electricity. Facility must be placed into service after December 31 <sup>st</sup> , 2024. Technology agnostic	Production Tax Credit (PTC)	\$11.2B
5	IRA Section 13702: Clean Electricity Investment Credit <sup>2</sup>	Intended to replace 13102 and phases out in 2032. Tax credit for domestically produced, zero emissions electricity. Facility must be placed into service after December 31 <sup>st</sup> , 2024. Technology agnostic	Investment Tax Credit (ITC)	\$50.9B

# Backup | New legislation provides incentives for facilities and production of Offshore Wind (II/III)

T	Provision	Summary	Type	Total investment
6	IRA Section 50153: Interregional Transmission Planning Investments <sup>3</sup>	Funding for interregional and offshore wind electricity transmission planning, modeling, and analysis	Grant	\$100M
7	IRA Section 50251: Offshore Wind Leasing <sup>3</sup>	Authorizes the DOI to issue leases on the outer continental shelf for offshore wind and ties offshore wind leasing to offshore oil and gas by requiring BOEM to offer at least 60M acres for oil and gas leasing before offering new OSW leases	N/A	N/A
8	IRA Section 13501: 48C Advanced Energy Manufacturing Project Credit <sup>3</sup>	Tax credit of up to 30% on investments into clean energy manufacturing facilities	Manufacturing Tax Credit	\$10B
9	IRA Section 13502: 45X Advanced Manufacturing Production Credit <sup>3</sup>	Production credit for domestically produced clean technology components, including blades, nacelles, and foundations for offshore wind	Manufacturing Tax Credit	\$30.6B
10	IIJA Section 41007: Renewable Energy Demonstration Projects <sup>3</sup>	Provides grants to fund renewable energy demonstration projects	Grants	\$244M

# Backup | New legislation provides incentives for facilities and production of Offshore Wind (III/III)

T	Provision	Summary	Type	Total investment
11	IIJA Section 40209: Advanced Energy Manufacturing and Recycling Grant Program <sup>3</sup>	Designed to provide grants to small- and medium-sized manufacturers to enable them to build new or retrofit existing manufacturing and industrial facilities to produce or recycle advanced energy products in communities where coal mines or coal power plants have closed	Grants	\$750M
12	CHIPS Section 10771: Advanced Research Projects Agency–Energy <sup>3</sup>	Allocates funding to Department of Energy research, development, and demonstration activities (ARPA-E) for energy projects (not specific to offshore wind)	Grant	\$1.2B
13	CHIPS Section 10771: Office of Electricity <sup>3</sup>	Allocates funding to Department of Energy research, development, and demonstration activities related to electricity (not specific to offshore wind)	Grant	\$1B
14	CHIPS Section 10771: Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy—Renewable Power <sup>3</sup>	Allocates funding to Department of Energy research, development, and demonstration activities for renewable power research (not specific to offshore wind)	Grant	\$800M
15	CHIPS Section 10622: Regional Clean Energy Innovation Program <sup>3</sup>	Authorizes a Regional Clean Energy Innovation Program at DOE to establish partnerships that promote the economic development of diverse geographic areas of the US by supporting clean energy innovation (not OSW specific)	Grant	\$250M

# Disclaimer

The services and materials provided by Boston Consulting Group (BCG) are subject to BCG's Standard Terms (a copy of which is available upon request) or such other agreement as may have been previously executed by BCG. BCG does not provide legal, accounting, or tax advice. The Client is responsible for obtaining independent advice concerning these matters. This advice may affect the guidance given by BCG. Further, BCG has made no undertaking to update these materials after the date hereof, notwithstanding that such information may become outdated or inaccurate.

The materials contained in this presentation are designed for the sole use by the board of directors or senior management of the Client and solely for the limited purposes described in the presentation. The materials shall not be copied or given to any person or entity other than the Client ("Third Party") without the prior written consent of BCG. These materials serve only as the focus for discussion; they are incomplete without the accompanying oral commentary and may not be relied on as a stand-alone document. Further, Third Parties may not, and it is unreasonable for any Third Party to, rely on these materials for any purpose whatsoever. To the fullest extent permitted by law (and except to the extent otherwise agreed in a signed writing by BCG), BCG shall have no liability whatsoever to any Third Party, and any Third Party hereby waives any rights and claims it may have at any time against BCG with regard to the services, this presentation, or other materials, including the accuracy or completeness thereof. Receipt and review of this document shall be deemed agreement with and consideration for the foregoing.

BCG does not provide fairness opinions or valuations of market transactions, and these materials should not be relied on or construed as such. Further, the financial evaluations, projected market and financial information, and conclusions contained in these materials are based upon standard valuation methodologies, are not definitive forecasts, and are not guaranteed by BCG. BCG has used public and/or confidential data and assumptions provided to BCG by the Client. BCG has not independently verified the data and assumptions used in these analyses. Changes in the underlying data or operating assumptions will clearly impact the analyses and conclusions.



bcg.com